

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/462,703	06/05/1995	GARY D. HODGEN	SCH1309-C1	7915
23599 7590 10/27/2004 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1617	57
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Symbol   Examiner   Group Art Unit   U.S.		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence add  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6)		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6)	tress—	
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6)		
	NG DATE	
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	timely.	
Status /		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5 22 0 3		
☐ This action is FINAL.	<del></del> •	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is close accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ed in	
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are pending in the application.	
12 14 01 4 01 17	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)is/are allowed.	is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  SClaim(s) is/are rejected.	·	
□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)———— are subject to restriction or	election	
requirement.  Application Papers		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	٠	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)		
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)		
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 5/27/63   Interview Summary, PTO-413		
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	n PTO-152	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Art Unit: 1617

Claims 49, 50, 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 49 "ameliorate" is vague. It is unclear how uterine bleeding/problems are ameliorated. It is also unclear as to the nature of the plural problems. Does applicant intend a decrease or cessation of bleeding?

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with

Claim 49 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim13 of U.S. Patent No. 5622943. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because because the instant claim encompasses the patented claim.

In paper #43, filed on

11/21/02 and subsequent responses, applicants urge an interference applicante urge an interference be declared between the instant application and the '736 patent because, although the inventors are identical, the assignees are different. However, applicants previde cite no authority that such action is recommended or required, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (571)

> PRIMARY EXAMINE GROUP 1500